Foundations of Management Deciding to do good?

Robert Marks

bobm@agsm.edu.au

http://www.agsm.edu.au/~bobm/teaching/FoM.html

- I. Examples of ethical dilemmas?
- 2. Why ethics?
- 3. Bill Posters Syndicate Exercise
- 4. Three frameworks choosing one
- 5. The Panalba Rôle-playing exercise
- 6. Enron
- 7. Rules of thumb
- 8. Galbraith's syndicate exercise
- 9. Discussion

• Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.

- Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.
- An old friend is burgled. He overstates his insurance claim. The assessor asks you to corroborate the existence and state of the equipment claimed.

- Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.
- An old friend is burgled. He overstates his insurance claim. The assessor asks you to corroborate the existence and state of the equipment claimed.
- The client finds the prospect of the product attractive, but you think there's a better product for her. Should you sell her the first one?

- Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.
- An old friend is burgled. He overstates his insurance claim. The assessor asks you to corroborate the existence and state of the equipment claimed.
- The client finds the prospect of the product attractive, but you think there's a better product for her. Should you sell her the first one?
- A client firm wants you to help reduce their tax liability in a small country. You are CEO of the financial intermediary.

- Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.
- An old friend is burgled. He overstates his insurance claim. The assessor asks you to corroborate the existence and state of the equipment claimed.
- The client finds the prospect of the product attractive, but you think there's a better product for her. Should you sell her the first one?
- A client firm wants you to help reduce their tax liability in a small country. You are CEO of the financial intermediary.
- You are an HR manager choosing entrants to a fast-track program. You get a call from one applicant's uncle, who is a state minister.

- Confidential evidence arrives of increased risk in a product of your company.
- An old friend is burgled. He overstates his insurance claim. The assessor asks you to corroborate the existence and state of the equipment claimed.
- The client finds the prospect of the product attractive, but you think there's a better product for her. Should you sell her the first one?
- A client firm wants you to help reduce their tax liability in a small country. You are CEO of the financial intermediary.
- You are an HR manager choosing entrants to a fast-track program. You get a call from one applicant's uncle, who is a state minister.
- You are a lawyer. You decide your client is guilty.

• You believe that you won't be successful as a foreign firm in another country unless you bribe officials there.

- You believe that you won't be successful as a foreign firm in another country unless you bribe officials there.
- As an employee of the auditors, you become aware of a client's scheme to boost profits on paper. Not an auditor yourself, but a consultant.

- You believe that you won't be successful as a foreign firm in another country unless you bribe officials there.
- As an employee of the auditors, you become aware of a client's scheme to boost profits on paper. Not an auditor yourself, but a consultant.
- or suggestions from you ...?

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Should she:

a.

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Should she:

a. shield her answer sheet from prying eyes?

b.

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Should she:

- a. shield her answer sheet from prying eyes?
- b. report his cheating?

C.

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Should she:

- a. shield her answer sheet from prying eyes?
- b. report his cheating?
- c. write wrong answers in order to mislead him, and then change them later in the exam?

Julie's a student in a course graded on a curve (15%As, 40% Bs, etc.)

In the final exam Julie realises her answers are being copied by the guy next to her.

Should she:

- a. shield her answer sheet from prying eyes?
- b. report his cheating?
- c. write wrong answers in order to mislead him, and then change them later in the exam?

What do you think she did? (This comes from a letter Julie wrote to a newspaper ethicist.)

2. Why Ethics (1)?

Should I bring my personal beliefs into my organisation?

- Should I bring my personal beliefs into my organisation?
- Shouldn't my employer determine standards of behaviour for all employees?

- Should I bring my personal beliefs into my organisation?
- Shouldn't my employer determine standards of behaviour for all employees?
- Shouldn't governments set minimum public expectations of business?

- Should I bring my personal beliefs into my organisation?
- Shouldn't my employer determine standards of behaviour for all employees?
- Shouldn't governments set minimum public expectations of business? (After all, limited liability companies are a legal creation of the state.)

• You become a manager because of knowledge and skills, not to manage moral matters. Why is social responsibility your concern?

- You become a manager because of knowledge and skills, not to manage moral matters. Why is social responsibility your concern?
- Isn't it undemocratic for business professionals or other individuals to decide social issues under the cover of ethics?

- You become a manager because of knowledge and skills, not to manage moral matters. Why is social responsibility your concern?
- Isn't it undemocratic for business professionals or other individuals to decide social issues under the cover of ethics?
- Ethics is subjective and relative, isn't it?

- You become a manager because of knowledge and skills, not to manage moral matters. Why is social responsibility your concern?
- Isn't it undemocratic for business professionals or other individuals to decide social issues under the cover of ethics?
- Ethics is subjective and relative, isn't it?
- Isn't ethics just about following rules?

• A distinction between right and wrong;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;
- proscription of murder, rape and other forms of violence;

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;
- proscription of murder, rape and other forms of violence;
- redress of wrongs;

How Universal are These?

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;
- proscription of murder, rape and other forms of violence;
- redress of wrongs;
- sanctions for wrongs against the community;

How Universal are These?

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;
- proscription of murder, rape and other forms of violence;
- redress of wrongs;
- sanctions for wrongs against the community;
- shame; and

How Universal are These?

- A distinction between right and wrong;
- empathy;
- fairness;
- admiration of generosity;
- rights and obligations;
- proscription of murder, rape and other forms of violence;
- redress of wrongs;
- sanctions for wrongs against the community;
- shame; and
- taboos.
- from the anthropologist Donald E. Brown, according to Steven Pinker (2008)

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think it's bad to harm others and good to help them.

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think it's bad to harm others and good to help them. They have a sense of fairness: that one should reciprocate favors, reward benefactors and punish cheaters.

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think it's bad to harm others and good to help them. They have a sense of fairness: that one should reciprocate favors, reward benefactors and punish cheaters. They value loyalty to a group, sharing and solidarity among its members and conformity to its norms.

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think it's bad to harm others and good to help them. They have a sense of fairness: that one should reciprocate favors, reward benefactors and punish cheaters. They value loyalty to a group, sharing and solidarity among its members and conformity to its norms. They believe that it is right to defer to legitimate authorities and to respect people with high status.

"People everywhere, at least in some circumstances and with certain other folks in mind, think it's bad to harm others and good to help them. They have a sense of fairness: that one should reciprocate favors, reward benefactors and punish cheaters. They value loyalty to a group, sharing and solidarity among its members and conformity to its norms. They believe that it is right to defer to legitimate authorities and to respect people with high status. And they exalt purity, cleanliness and sanctity while loathing defilement, contamination and carnality."

– Pinker (2008)

... Five Moral Themes ...

... Five Moral Themes ...

• Harm

- ... Five Moral Themes ...
 - Harm
 - Fairness

- ... Five Moral Themes ...
 - Harm
 - Fairness
 - Community (group loyalty)

- ... Five Moral Themes ...
 - Harm
 - Fairness
 - Community (group loyalty)
 - Authority

- ... Five Moral Themes ...
 - Harm
 - Fairness
 - Community (group loyalty)
 - Authority
 - Purity

• in the West: prevent harm, promote fairness over nepotism (community)

- in the West: prevent harm, promote fairness over nepotism (community)
- in Japan: fear of non-conformity (community)

- in the West: prevent harm, promote fairness over nepotism (community)
- in Japan: fear of non-conformity (community)
- some religions: holy ablutions and dietary restrictions (purity)

- in the West: prevent harm, promote fairness over nepotism (community)
- in Japan: fear of non-conformity (community)
- some religions: holy ablutions and dietary restrictions (purity)
- Islam: outrage at insulting the Prophet (authority)

- in the West: prevent harm, promote fairness over nepotism (community)
- in Japan: fear of non-conformity (community)
- some religions: holy ablutions and dietary restrictions (purity)
- Islam: outrage at insulting the Prophet (authority)

So cultural differences are a question of trade-offs among the five moral themes.

3. Bill Posters

Into Teams.

30 minutes.

And then a debrief.

I. Acts are intrinsically right or wrong. Ethical requirements are expressed in duties (Kant)

"respect for persons", "the ends don't justify the means"

Acts are intrinsically right or wrong. Ethical requirements are expressed in duties (Kant)
 "respect for persons", "the ends don't justify the means"

2. Right and wrong means producing a surplus of good over evil consequences (Mill)

- Acts are intrinsically right or wrong. Ethical requirements are expressed in duties (Kant)
 "respect for persons", "the ends don't justify the means"
- 2. Right and wrong means producing a surplus of good over evil consequences (Mill)
- 3. A third way: Virtue Ethics ethics as excellence. Focuses on character or human virtue; stresses the achievement of excellence in human activities.

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

All social virtues built on friendship, but professional virtues include:

High practice standards

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

- High practice standards
- Trustworthiness and honesty

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

- High practice standards
- Trustworthiness and honesty
- Integrity

Professional excellence ranks among the perfecting human virtues.

- High practice standards
- Trustworthiness and honesty
- Integrity
- Compassion

Three answers:

Three answers:

1. Because it is your rational duty.

Three answers:

- 1. Because it is your rational duty.
- 2. Because it will increase the sum of good in the world.

Three answers:

- 1. Because it is your rational duty.
- 2. Because it will increase the sum of good in the world.
- 3. Because it is the most fitting way to be a person.

Professional ethics draws from all three strands of moral theory:

Professional ethics draws from all three strands of moral theory:

It cares about principles and about people as people;

Professional ethics draws from all three strands of moral theory:

- It cares about principles and about people as people;
- It cares about results; and

_

Professional ethics draws from all three strands of moral theory:

- It cares about principles and about people as people;
- It cares about results; and
- It cares about the virtues of professional practice (excellence).

Instrumental goods and intrinsic goods:

- What is the good of a car?
- What is the good of money?
- What is the good of food?
- What is the good of a Master's degree?
- What is the good of friendship?
- What is the good of art?

In sum ...

Instrumental goods and intrinsic goods:

- What is the good of a car?
- What is the good of money?
- What is the good of food?
- What is the good of a Master's degree?
- What is the good of friendship?
- What is the good of art?

In sum ...

 Goods (instrumental goods) can be useful for getting other goods, or

Instrumental goods and intrinsic goods:

- What is the good of a car?
- What is the good of money?
- What is the good of food?
- What is the good of a Master's degree?
- What is the good of friendship?
- What is the good of art?

In sum ...

- Goods (instrumental goods) can be useful for getting other goods, or
- Just good in themselves. These are called basic or fundamental goods.

• Ethics is about the pursuit of instrumental & fundamental goods.

- Ethics is about the pursuit of instrumental & fundamental goods.
- Reflected in stressing that human dignity cannot be traded for lesser benefits; and by taking consequences seriously.

- Ethics is about the pursuit of instrumental & fundamental goods.
- Reflected in stressing that human dignity cannot be traded for lesser benefits; and by taking consequences seriously.
- But fundamental goods should not be displaced by instrumental ones.

- Rules and absolute prohibitions work at the margins of conduct,
 - eg. Do not torture; do not murder.

Rules and absolute prohibitions work at the margins of conduct,

eg. Do not torture; do not murder.

But most conduct is not at the extreme.

Rules and absolute prohibitions work at the margins of conduct,

eg. Do not torture; do not murder.

But most conduct is not at the extreme.

 Consequences need some ranking principle beside quantity to distinguish what is important and inviolable from what is tradable → a theory of good.

How can we choose an ethical theory?

In an ethical position (whichever theory):

How can we choose an ethical theory?

In an ethical position (whichever theory):

Look at whether fundamental goods are protected and supported

How can we choose an ethical theory?

In an ethical position (whichever theory):

- Look at whether fundamental goods are protected and supported
- Look at human flourishing. Are any goods basic to human well-being deliberately compromised?

< >

• Life

- Life
- Friendship

- Life
- Friendship
- Freedom

- Life
- Friendship
- Freedom
- Knowledge

- Life
- Friendship
- Freedom
- Knowledge
- Æsthetics

- Life
- Friendship
- Freedom
- Knowledge
- Æsthetics
- Play
 (e.g. Play informs many parts of our lives. The
 spirit of play is valuable just for itself.)

- Life
- Friendship
- Freedom
- Knowledge
- Æsthetics
- Play

 (e.g. Play informs many parts of our lives. The spirit of play is valuable just for itself.)
- Religion

• What goods are fundamental in business?

- What goods are fundamental in business?
- What values do we need to protect them?

- What goods are fundamental in business?
- What values do we need to protect them?
- What structures should encourage and protect those values?

- What goods are fundamental in business?
- What values do we need to protect them?
- What structures should encourage and protect those values?
- What rôle does an individual have in safeguarding those values in an organisation?

0

- What goods are fundamental in business?
- What values do we need to protect them?
- What structures should encourage and protect those values?
- What rôle does an individual have in safeguarding those values in an organisation?
- What rôle does business have in protecting those values in society?

DO NO HARM

DO NO HARM

PREVENT HARM

DO NO HARM

PREVENT HARM

REMOVE HARM

A Hierarchy of Actions:

DO NO HARM

PREVENT HARM

REMOVE HARM

DO GOOD

When should you act?

I.

When should you act?

- I. When you see extreme need.
- 2.

When should you act?

- I. When you see extreme need.
- 2. When you are close by.
- 3.

When should you act?

- I. When you see extreme need.
- 2. When you are close by.
- 3. When you are able to.

4.

When should you act?

- I. When you see extreme need.
- 2. When you are close by.
- 3. When you are able to.
- 4. When no-one else is likely to.
- 5.

When should you act?

- I. When you see extreme need.
- 2. When you are close by.
- 3. When you are able to.
- 4. When no-one else is likely to.
- 5. When you won't suffer undue loss.

5. The Panalba Rôle-Play

Into Teams.

60 minutes.

Remember: two decisions — for the domestic market, and for foreign markets.

And then a debrief.

6. ENRON

"Enron ... took great pains to project an image of being a stalwart and responsible corporate citizen ... [It] appeared to have superior internal controls, including most of the elements of an effective ethics management system in place."

(- Strategic Finance, Feb. 2002)

6. ENRON

"Enron ... took great pains to project an image of being a stalwart and responsible corporate citizen ... [It] appeared to have superior internal controls, including most of the elements of an effective ethics management system in place."

(- Strategic Finance, Feb. 2002)

"The unrelenting emphasis on earnings growth and individual initiative, coupled with a shocking absence of the usual corporate checks and balances, tipped the culture from one that rewarded aggressive strategy to one that increasingly relied on unethical corner cutting. In the end, too much leeway was given to young, inexperienced managers without the necessary controls to minimise failures."

ENRON

Enron changed from being a small regulated gas company to an huge unregulated trading company. The catalyst was Chairman Ken Lay hiring of Jeff Skilling "to build Enron Finance Corp. into an asset light laboratory for financially linked products and services."

(— Business Week)

ENRON

Enron changed from being a small regulated gas company to an huge unregulated trading company. The catalyst was Chairman Ken Lay hiring of Jeff Skilling "to build Enron Finance Corp. into an asset light laboratory for financially linked products and services."

(— Business Week)

"The train was supposed to be kept on the tracks partly by an internal risk management group ... to screen proposals and review deals. Many of the unit were MBAs with little perspective and every reason to sign off on deals. Their own performance reviews were partially done by the people whose deals they were approving. The process made honest evaluations virtually impossible."

ENRON

"Arthur Andersen, the accounting firm, looked the other way while Enron management created "special purpose entities" (that is, complicated financial arrangements that kept hundreds of millions of dollars in losses and debt off the balance sheet, and thus away from the scrutiny of investors). This led to an overstatement of profits of almost \$600 million and an understatement of debt of \$630 million between 1997 and 2000. Andersen was hardly "independent" as more than half of its income from its Enron "account" came not from auditing but from lucrative consulting work."

(- America, Feb. 11, 2002)

Corporate governance

- Corporate governance
- Off-the-books partnerships

- Corporate governance
- Off-the-books partnerships
- Auditors also having consultancy interests with clients — Andersen's

- Corporate governance
- Off-the-books partnerships
- Auditors also having consultancy interests with clients — Andersen's
- Independent audit committee

- Corporate governance
- Off-the-books partnerships
- Auditors also having consultancy interests with clients — Andersen's
- Independent audit committee
- Code of ethics

- Corporate governance
- Off-the-books partnerships
- Auditors also having consultancy interests with clients — Andersen's
- Independent audit committee
- Code of ethics
- Political favours

Tighten the definition of independent directors

- Tighten the definition of independent directors
- Give audit committees the power to hire and fire auditors

< >

- Tighten the definition of independent directors
- Give audit committees the power to hire and fire auditors
- Let shareholders approve all equity-based compensation plans

- Tighten the definition of independent directors
- Give audit committees the power to hire and fire auditors
- Let shareholders approve all equity-based compensation plans
- Force CEOs to certify the authenticity of their companies' financial statements

< >

- Tighten the definition of independent directors
- Give audit committees the power to hire and fire auditors
- Let shareholders approve all equity-based compensation plans
- Force CEOs to certify the authenticity of their companies' financial statements
- Require board compensation committees to be composed of all independent directors

HONEST

HONEST FAIR

HONEST FAIR CARING

HONEST FAIR CARING BELIEVING

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED
CONSIDERED

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED
CONSIDERED
SETTLED

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED
CONSIDERED
SETTLED
REASONABLE

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED
CONSIDERED
SETTLED
REASONABLE
FORGIVING

HONEST
FAIR
CARING
BELIEVING
PRINCIPLED
TRUTHFUL
INFORMED
CONSIDERED
SETTLED
REASONABLE
FORGIVING
CONSISTENCY

HONEST FAIR **CARING BELIEVING PRINCIPLED TRUTHFUL INFORMED CONSIDERED SETTLED REASONABLE FORGIVING CONSISTENCY COURAGEOUS**

HONEST FAIR **CARING BELIEVING PRINCIPLED TRUTHFUL INFORMED CONSIDERED SETTLED REASONABLE FORGIVING CONSISTENCY COURAGEOUS** INTEGRITY

HONEST FAIR **CARING BELIEVING PRINCIPLED TRUTHFUL INFORMED CONSIDERED SETTLED REASONABLE FORGIVING CONSISTENCY COURAGEOUS** INTEGRITY **CHARACTER**

HONEST FAIR **CARING BELIEVING PRINCIPLED** TRUTHFUL **INFORMED** CONSIDERED **SETTLED REASONABLE FORGIVING CONSISTENCY COURAGEOUS** INTEGRITY **CHARACTER** KIND/COMPASSIONATE

HONEST FAIR **CARING BELIEVING PRINCIPLED** TRUTHFUL **INFORMED CONSIDERED SETTLED REASONABLE FORGIVING CONSISTENCY COURAGEOUS** INTEGRITY **CHARACTER** KIND/COMPASSIONATE **DECISIVE**

8. J. K. Galbraith in syndicates

Into Teams.

30 minutes.

And then a debrief.

10. Later ...

Business Ethics (to come later, with Corporate Social Responsibility) includes:

http://www.agsm.edu.au/~bobm/teaching/BE.html

- There are only four types of ethical dilemmas.
- Ways to separate true ethical dilemmas from problems that although hard are not ethical dilemmas.
- Ways to resolve true ethical dilemmas.
- Practical experience at raising the ethical culture in an actual organisation.
- Ethical issues for multi- and trans-national firms.
- And opportunities to discuss all of these with your fellow students.

and see The Economist this week, at

http://www.economist.com/opinion/displaystory.cfm?story_id=10533974